**FIN381 201930A KC 7 KT Additional Assignment**

**Value:** 30%

**Due Date:** 14-Sep-2018

**Return Date:** 10-Oct-2018

**Length:** 2,000 words

**Submission method options:**

* EASTS (online)
* Hand delivery

**Task**

Critically discuss the capital market in China and the opportunities for investment banks in that market.  Use several company examples to support your discussion.

***Hint:***   Use ***Section 1.5 of Topic 1*** of your study guide as the starting point for your research.

You must use information sources to support your discussion, such as websites, newspaper and magazine articles, journal articles, and books. Each information source used must be referenced correctly in the body of the paper, and in a reference list.

**Please note that you should not use 'wiki' sites as references for this assignment. These sources may provide useful background reading, but they are not suitable as academic references.**

Marking criteria

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Mark | HD  85-100% | DI  75-84% | CR  65-74% | PS  50-64% | FL  0-49% |
| Critically capital market in China and the opportunities for investment banks in that market.  **10 marks** |  | Theory is well organised and clearly defined and discussed. Argument is presented in a clear and logical manner. Demonstrates clear understanding of the capital market in China and the opportunities for investment banks in that market. (8.5-10 marks) | More detailed and expansive discussion is offered of the capital market in China and the opportunities for investment banks in that market. Their opinions and arguments are logical, convincing and backed with a suitable range of references. (7.5-8.0 marks) | Reasonable coverage and discussion offered as to the capital market in China and the opportunities for investment banks in that market. Personal opinion is evident but not necessarily always fully supported by references. (6.5-7.0 marks) | Basic understanding the capital market in China and the opportunities for investment banks in that market.  Limited support or backing is offered for their opinions. (5.0-6.0 marks) | Theory is not clearly defined or described.  Shows weak understanding the capital market in China and the opportunities for investment banks in that market. (0-4.5 marks) |
| Ability to apply theory to examples (use of example in answering the questions)  **10 marks** |  | Examples show clear understanding of linkages from theory to practice.  Individual opinion and commentary is offered. (8.5-10 marks) | A broad range of examples are explained and used to demonstrate a good understanding of theory.  (7.5-8.0 marks) | Relevant examples are explained and linked to theory. (6.5-7.0 marks) | Examples are mentioned and explained but only at a rudimentary level. (5.0-6.0 marks) | Did not connect theory with an example, did not explain or link the activities of an example with theory. No examples provided. (0-4.5 marks) |
| Presentation: structure (including introduction and  conclusion), formatting, spelling and grammar  **5 marks** |  | Writing style is clear and academic.  Attention to spelling and grammar.  Professional standard of presentation, good layout and use of headings. Includes good introduction and conclusion. (4.5-5 marks) | Expression and presentation of ideas are mainly accurate.  Fluent writing style appropriate to the assessment item.  Grammar, format and spelling are accurate. (4 marks) | Expression is lucid and clear with precise use of language. Writing style appropriate to the assignment type.  Few flaws are evident in formatting, grammar and spelling. (3.5 marks) | Expression and presentation are comprehensible but lack clarity. Presentation, structure and writing style is evident but at a basic level. May also include use of informal language and weaknesses in structure. (2.5-3 marks) | Writing style is not clear, there are errors (spelling and grammar), the language is informal or unprofessional. Limited use of formatting such as headings. Missing introduction and/or  Conclusion. (0-2 marks) |
| Use of APA referencing  **5 marks** |  | Sources are referenced correctly using full APA style inside the  report and in reference list at end of the report. (4.5-5 marks) | Largely satisfies correct APA referencing both within the assignment and  in reference list itself. (4 marks) | Shows better understanding as well as application of APA referencing styles. (3.5 marks) | Basic satisfaction of correct APA referencing. May be some omissions. (2.5-3 marks) | Referencing style is often incorrect or poorly applied, or references are  Missing. (0-2 marks) |